

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/678,032	10/03/2000	Mark B. Lester	1671-0099	5677
7590 01/29/2008 Maginot, Moore & Beck LLP			EXAMINER	
Chase Tower			PRONE, CHRISTOPHER D	
III Monument Indianapolis, Π	Circle, Suite 3250 N 46204-5109		ART UNIT PAPER NÚMBER	
•			3738	
			[· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
•			01/29/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

ě .	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Cl		
	09/678,032	LESTER ET AL.	•		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Christopher D. Prone	3738			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	J. lely filed the mailing date of this communication (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No.	ovember 2007.				
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☒ This	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	i3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims		•	4		
4) Claim(s) 38-44 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 38-44 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the Eddrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121((d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No In this National Stage			
	•				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	te			

Application/Control Number: 09/678,032

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 38-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over USPN 5,879,404 Bateman et al in view of USPN 5,782,928 Ries et al. and further in view of USPN 4,123,806 Amstutz et al.

Bateman discloses an acetabular cup comprising an apex portion 8, a liner 7, and an upper rim, and an outer-surface 82 having a hemispherical shape that is aligned with the surface of an imaginary hemisphere from the bottom of the apex portion to the second plane shown best in figure 4. However Bateman does not disclose that the acetabular cup is about 1 millimeter less than a complete hemisphere or a method for inserting the device.

Ries discloses a method for securing an acetabular cup comprising an apex portion 83, an upper rim, and an outer-surface 82 to an acetabulum. The securing steps include reaming a hemispherically shaped cavity into said acetabulum that is smaller than the larges diameter 68 of the cup in order to form an interference fit.

Amstutz discloses an acetabular cup 18 having an apex and upper rim 58 that is configured to be press-fit into a cavity prepared in the acetabulum. Amstutz discloses a cup 18 that can be precisely hemispherical or 1 or 2 millimeters less than a hemisphere.

This shape would then render a distance (D) between the "great circle" and the lower plane of the imaginary hemisphere within the applicants claimed range (6: 5-8). Amstutz further A discloses a bearing insert 16 configured to be received with the acetabular cup

18 and is further configured to mate with head portion of the femur 12. The cup 18 and

its upper rim 58 lie flush with the surface of the cavity of the acetabulum (7:36-42). The

device of Amstutz is in the same field of endeavor teaches the less than hemispherical

shape for the purpose of avoiding impingement of the cup.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine the less than hemispherical shape taught by Amstutz with the device of Bateman and to insert the implant with the method taught by Ries in order to provide a secure fit and to prevent impingement of the cup.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 38-44 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher D. Prone whose telephone number is (571) 272-6085. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Fri 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (571) 272-4754. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Christopher D Prone Examiner Art Unit 3738

W/ CDP

> CORRINE MCDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TFCHNOLOGY CENTER 3700